

## GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 44/SCIC /2015**

Mr. Xavier Dias,  
H.No. 477, Orel,  
Assolna Salcete Goa.

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Mamlatdar of Salcete,  
Public Information Officer(PIO),  
Late mathany saldhana Complex,  
Margao Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority(FAA),  
Dy. Collector & SDO,Salcete,  
Late Mathany Saldhana Complex,  
margao Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 24/04/2015**

**Decided on: 07/04/2017**

### **ORDER**

1. The appellant Shri Xavier Dias by his application dated 20/10/2014 filed u/s 6(1) RTI Act sought certain information from PIO, office of Mamlatdar, Salcete Margao.
2. The same was responded by the Respondent No. 1 PIO on 7/11/2014 thereby calling upon by the appellant for clarification on the same subject matter.
3. It is the case of the appellant that in pursuant to the said letter he is visited the office of the PIO on three occasion however no information came to be furnished to him as such vide his letter dated 4/12/2014 he again reminded PIO to furnished the same .

..2/-

..2..

4. As no information came to be furnished to him by the PIO he filed first appeal before the Deputy Collector South Goa Margao on 6/01/2015 and the First Appellate Authority by an order dated 5/02/2015 directed to furnish the required information free of cost within one month from the disposal of the order.
5. As the order of First Appellate Authority was not complied and being aggrieved by the action of Respondent 1 PIO the present second appeal came to be filed before this commission 24/4/2015 u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 with the prayer for furnishing information as sought by him vide his RTI application and for invoking penal provision.
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission, the appellant appeared in person Respondent No 1 represented by Ramakant Naik and Respondent No. 2 represented by Shri Viraj Malkernekar .
7. Reply came to be filed on 6/3/2017 by Respondent No. 1 PIO interalia submitting that the information at point No. 1 and 2 of his application dated 20/10/16 is already provided to the appellant and the same have been acknowledged on 22/3/2017 by the appellant. The appellant was directed to verify the same and report accordingly.
8. On subsequent date of hearing i.e.7/4/2017 the appellant appeared and confirmed the fact of having received the information to his satisfaction and as per his requirement and submitted that despite of receiving the said information belately, he has no grievance against the PIOs. He further submitted that he is not insisting for invoking penal provisions. Accordingly he endorsed his say on memo of appeal.
9. In view of the submission made by the appellant and the endorsement made by him, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.

..3/-

..3..

The appeal disposed according. Proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-  
**(Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa